

Journal of the Indian Statistical Association Vol.55 No. 1 & 2, 2017,

# Characterization of Probability Measures on Hilbert Spaces via Q-Independence

### B.L.S. PRAKASA RAO

CR Rao Advanced Institute of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science, Hyderabad 500046, India

#### Abstract

We obtain a characterization for probability measures on a separable Hilbert space X based on linear forms of Q-independent random elements taking values in X. As a special case, we obtain a characterization of probability distributions on  $R^k$  through linear functions of Q-independent k-dimensional random vectors.

**Key Words** : *Q*-independence; Probability measure; Characterization; Random element in Hilbert space; Multivariate random vector.

# 1 Introduction

If  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are independent standard normal random variables, it is known that the ratio  $X_1/X_2$  has the standard Cauchy distribution. However the converse is not true. For instance, let  $Y_1 = \frac{1}{X_1}$  and  $Y_2 = \frac{1}{X_2}$ , then  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are independent random variables but they do not have the standard normal distribution and yet  $Y_2/Y_1 = X_1/X_2$  has the standard Cauchy distribution. Kotlarski (1967) has proved that, if  $X_1, X_2$  and  $X_3$  are independent identically distributed random variables such that  $(Z_1, Z_2)$  has the bivariate Cauchy distribution where  $Z_1 = \frac{X_1}{X_2}$  and  $Z_2 = \frac{X_1}{X_3}$ , then the

Received : month year

random variables  $X_1, X_2$  and  $X_3$  have normal distributions. He proved that if  $X_1, X_2$  and  $X_3$  are three independent real-valued random variables and if the characteristic function of the bivariate random vector  $(Z_1, Z_2)$  where  $Z_1 = X_1 - X_2, Z_2 = X_1 - X_3$  does not vanish, then the distribution of the random vector  $(Z_1, Z_2)$  determines the distributions of the random variables  $X_1, X_2$  and  $X_3$  up to changes in location. Kotlarski's result has found applications in identification and estimation of auction models in economics Krasnokutskaya (2011)). It can be used when one observes two (cf.error-contaminated measurements of the same variable (when the errors are independent). The joint distribution of the contaminated random variables identifies the distributions of the true variable as well as that of the errors up to location. Kotlarski (1966) extended his result to random elements taking values in a Hilbert space. Prakasa Rao (1968) (cf. Prakasa Rao (1992)) generalized the result to random elements taking values in a locally compact Abelian group. Motivation for study of probability measures on Hilbert spaces arises from the intrinsic mathematical interest but also from the applications to functional data analysis where the observations are curves over a specified region, for instance, the observations are functions in the space of square integrable functions  $L_2(R)$  which is a Hilbert space. It is now known that functional data analysis has applications in the study of stochastic modeling of trade through e-commerce. Another motivation for study is in the area of signal processing. Suppose  $X_3 = \{X_3(t), 0 \leq t \leq$ T, i = 1, 2 is a signal sent over two different channels and  $X_i = \{X_i(t), 0 \leq i \}$  $t \leq T$ , i = 1, 2 are independent additive components contaminating the original signal  $X_3$  transmitted over these channels. It is of interest to know whether the true signal  $X_3 = \{X_3(t), 0 \le t \le T\}$  can be recovered from the observed data  $\{Z_i(t), 0 \le t \le T\}, i = 1, 2$  where  $\{Z_1(t) = X_1(t) + X_3(t), 0 \le T\}$  $t \leq T$  and  $\{Z_2(t) = X_2(t) + X_3(t), 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ . If the processes  $X_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ are assumed to have sample paths in the space  $L_2[0,T]$ , then the processes  $X_i, i = 1, 2, 3$  can be considered as random elements taking values in the Hilbert space and it follows that the joint probability measure of  $(Z_1, Z_2)$  determines the probability measures of  $X_i$ , i = 1, 2, 3 up to changes in location under some conditions. In a recent article, Kagan and Szekely

(2016) introduced the notion of Q-independence for real-valued random variables and studied characterization properties of a Gaussian distribution based on linear forms of Q-independent random variables. It is obvious that independence of random variables implies their Q-independence. However it is known that Q-independence of a set of real-valued random variables does not imply the independence of the set. For instance, if X, Y, Z are non-degenerate independent random variables, then X + Y and X + Z are Q-independent but not independent. Prakasa Rao (2016, 2017) extended Kotlarski's theorem for Q-independent random variables and Q-conditional independent random variables. Our aim in this paper is to extend the result obtained by Kotlarski (1966) to the Q-independent case for Hilbert space valued random elements. As a special case, we obtain a characterization of probability distributions for multi-dimensional random vectors through linear functions of Q-independent random vectors. These results generalize Kotlarski's results from the independent case to the Q-independent case which is a *strictly* larger class of random variables.

# 2 Preliminaries

Suppose X is a separable Hilbert space. Let  $(x, y), x \in X, y \in X$  denote the inner product between x and y. Let ||x|| denote the norm of the element  $x \in X$ . Suppose  $\psi$  is a random element defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$  taking values in the space X and let  $\mu_{\psi}$  be the probability measure generated by the random element  $\psi$ . The function

$$\hat{\mu}_{\psi}(y) = \int_{X} e^{i(x,y)} \mu_{\psi}(dx), y \in X$$

is the characteristic function of the probability measure  $\mu_{\psi}$ . It is known that

(i) the function  $\hat{\mu}_{\psi}(y)$  is a uniformly continuous function of y in the norm topology;

(ii) the function  $\hat{\mu}_{\psi}(.)$  determines the probability measure  $\mu_{\psi}$  uniquely; (iii)  $(\hat{\mu}_{\psi} * \mu_{\eta})(y) = \hat{\mu}_{\psi}(y)\hat{\mu}_{\eta}(y), y \in X$  where \* denotes the convolution operation;

(iv)  $\hat{\mu}_{\psi}(0) = 1$  where 0 is the identity element in X, and (v)  $|\hat{\mu}_{\psi}(y)| \leq 1, y \in X$ .

Properties of probability measures on Hilbert spaces are investigated in Grenander (1963) and Parthasarathy (1967).

Let f(y) be a function defined on the space X and let  $h \in X$ . Let  $\Delta_h$  be the finite difference operator defined by

$$\Delta_h f(y) = f(y+h) - f(y).$$

The function  $f(y), y \in X$  is called a *polynomial* on X if

$$\Delta_h^{n+1} f(y) = 0$$

for some  $n \ge 0$  and for all  $y, h \in X$ . The minimal n for which this equality holds is called the *degree* of the polynomial f(y). Let  $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n$  be random elements with values in the Hilbert Space X. Following Kagan and Szekely (2016), we define the notion of Q-independence for random elements  $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n$  with values in the space X. The random elements  $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n$ , taking values in the Hilbert space X, are said to be Q-independent if their joint characteristic function can be represented in the form

$$\hat{\mu}_{(\psi_1,\dots,\psi_n)}(y_1,\dots,y_n) = (\prod_{j=1}^n \hat{\mu}_{\psi_j}(y_j)) \exp[q(y_1,\dots,y_n)], y_i \in X, 1 \le i \le n$$
(2.1)

where  $q(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$  is a continuous polynomial on the space  $X^n$  with  $q(0, \ldots, 0) = 0$ . Here  $X^n$  denotes the *n*-fold tensor product of the Hilbert Space X.

### 3 Main result

We now extend the result proved in Kotlarski (1966) to Q-independent random elements taking values in a Hilbert space X. We will now prove a lemma which will be used in the sequel.

**Lemma 3.1:** Let X be a Hilbert space and  $b_i, 1 \le i \le n$  be scalars such that  $b_i \ne b_j \ne 0$  for  $i \ne j$ . Consider the functional equation

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \psi_j(u+b_j v) = P(u) + Q(v) + R(u,v), u, v \in X$$
(3.1)

on the space X where  $\psi_j(u), P(u)$  and Q(u) are functions on the Hilbert Space X and R(u, v) is a polynomial on  $X \otimes X$ . Then P(y) and Q(y) are polynomials on X.

**Proof** : We use the finite-difference method for proving this lemma (following the techniques in Kagan, Linnik and Rao (1973) and Feldman (2017)). Let  $h_1$  be an arbitrary element in the Hilbert space X. Let  $k_1 = -b_n^{-1}h_1$ . Then  $h_1 + b_nk_1 = 0$ . Let us substitute  $u + h_1$  for u and  $v + k_1$  for v in the equation (3.1). Subtracting the equation (3.1) from the resulting equation, we get that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \Delta_{\ell_{1j}} \psi_j(u+b_j v) = \Delta_{h_1} P(u) + \Delta_{k_1} Q(v) + \Delta_{(h_1,k_1)} R(u,v), u, v \in X$$
(3.2)

where  $\ell_{1j} = h_1 + b_j k_1 = (b_j - b_n) k_1, 1 \le j \le (n-1)$ . Let  $h_2$  be an arbitrary element of the Hilbert space X. Let  $k_2 = -b_{n-1}^{-1}h_2$ . Then  $h_2 + b_{n-1}k_2 = 0$ .

Substitute  $u + h_2$  for u and  $v + k_2$  for v in the equation (3.2). Subtracting equation (3.2) from the resulting equation, we obtain that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \Delta_{\ell_{2j}} \Delta_{\ell_{1j}} \psi_j(u+b_j v) = \Delta_{h_2} \Delta_{h_1} P(u) + \Delta_{k_2} \Delta_{k_1} Q(v) + \Delta_{(h_2,k_2)} \Delta_{(h_1,k_1)} R(u,v)$$
(3.3)

for  $(u, v) \in X$  where  $\ell_{2j} = h_2 + b_j k_2 = (b_j - b_{n-1})k_2, 1 \leq j \leq (n-2)$ . Proceeding by similar arguments, we get the equation

$$\Delta_{\ell_{n-1,1}} \Delta_{\ell_{n-2,1}} \dots \Delta_{\ell_{11}} \psi_1(u+b_1 v)$$

$$= \Delta_{h_{n-1}} \Delta_{h_{n-2}} \dots \Delta_{h_1} P(u)$$

$$+ \Delta_{k_{n-1}} \Delta_{k_{n-2}} \dots \Delta_{k_1} Q(v)$$

$$+ \Delta_{(h_{n-1},k_{n-1})} \Delta_{(h_{n-2},k_{n-2})} \dots \Delta_{(h_1,k_1)} R(u,v) \quad (3.4)$$

for  $u, v \in X$  where  $h_m$  are arbitrary elements of X,  $k_m = -b_{n-m+1}^{-1}h_m, 1 \le m \le n-1$  and  $\ell_{mj} = h_m + b_j k_m = (b_j - b_{n-m+1})k_m, 1 \le j \le n-m$ . Let  $h_n$  be an arbitrary element in X. Let  $k_n = -b_1^{-1}h_n$ . Then  $h_n + b_1k_n = 0$ . Substituting  $u+h_n$  for u and  $v+k_n$  for v in the equation (3.4) and subtracting the equation (3.4) from the resulting equation, we obtain that

$$\Delta_{h_n} \Delta_{h_{n-1}} \dots \Delta_{h_1} P(u)$$
  
+ $\Delta_{k_n} \Delta_{k_{n-1}} \dots \Delta_{k_1} Q(v)$   
+ $\Delta_{(h_n,k_n)} \Delta_{(h_{n-2},k_{n-2})} \dots \Delta_{(h_1,k_1)} R(u,v) = 0$  (3.5)

for  $u, v \in X$ . Let  $h_{n+1}$  be an arbitrary element of the space X. Substituting  $h_{n+1}$  for u in the equation (3.5) and subtracting the equation (3.5) from the

resulting equation, we observe that

$$\Delta_{h_{n+1}} \Delta_{h_n} \Delta_{h_{n-1}} \dots \Delta_{h_1} P(u) + \Delta_{(h_{n+1},0)} \Delta_{(h_n,k_n)} \Delta_{(h_{n-1},k_{n-1})} \dots \Delta_{(h_1,k_1)} R(u,v) = 0$$
(3.6)

for  $u, v \in X$ . If h and k are arbitrary elements of the Hilbert space X, then, for some  $\ell$ ,

$$\Delta_{(h,k)}^{\ell+1} R(u,v) = 0, u, v \in X$$
(3.7)

since R(u, v) is a polynomial on  $X \otimes X$ . Since  $h_m, 1 \leq m \leq n+1$  are arbitrary elements in the space X, we can substitute  $h_1 = \ldots = h_{n+1} = h$ in the equation (3.6) and apply the operator  $\Delta_{(h,k)}^{\ell+1}$  to both the sides of the resulting equation. Equation (3.7) implies that

$$\Delta_h^{\ell+n+2} P(u) = 0, u, h \in X.$$
(3.8)

Hence P(u) is a polynomial on the Hilbert space X. Similar arguments prove that Q(v) is also a polynomial on X.

**Remarks :** The proof given above follows arguments similar to those given in Kagan et al. (1973) for functions defined on the real line and by Feldman (2017) for functions defined on groups. We have shown that the arguments continue to hold for functions defined on a Hilbert Space and give details here for completeness.

**Theorem 3.2:** Let  $\psi_1, \psi_2$  and  $\psi_3$  be three Q-independent random elements taking values in a separable Hilbert space X. Let  $Z_1 = \psi_1 + \psi_2$  and  $Z_2 = \psi_2 + \psi_3$ . If the characteristic function of the random vector  $(Z_1, Z_2)$ does not vanish, then the probability measure of the random vector  $(Z_1, Z_2)$ determines the characteristic functions of  $\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3$  up to multiplication by the exponentials of polynomials.

**Proof:** Let  $\lambda_{(Z_1,Z_2)}$  denote the joint probability measure of the random vector  $(Z_1, Z_2)$ . Let  $\mu_{\psi_j}$  denote the probability measure of the random element  $\psi_j$  for j = 1, 2, 3. The joint characteristic function of the random vector  $(Z_1, Z_2)$  is given by

$$\begin{split} \hat{\lambda}_{(Z_1,Z_2)}(u,v) &= E[\exp(i(Z_1,u)+i(Z_2,v))], u,v \in X \\ &= E[\exp(i(\psi_1+\psi_2,u)+i(\psi_2+\psi_3,v))], u,v \in X \\ &= E[\exp(i(\psi_1,u)+i(\psi_2,u+v)+i(\psi_3,v))], u,v \in X \\ &= \hat{\mu}_{\psi_1}(u)\hat{\mu}_{\psi_2}(u+v)\hat{\mu}_{\psi_3}(v)\exp[q_1(u,u+v,v)], u,v \in X \end{split}$$

where  $q_1(y_1, y_2, y_3)$  is a continuous polynomial on the space  $X \otimes X \otimes X$ by the *Q*-independence of the random elements  $\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3$ . Suppose that  $\eta_i, i = 1, 2, 3$  is another set of *Q*-independent random elements such that the joint probability measure of the random vector  $(T_1, T_2)$  is the same as the joint probability measure of the random vector  $(Z_1, Z_2)$  where  $T_1 = \eta_1 + \eta_2$ and  $T_2 = \eta_2 + \eta_3$ . By the calculations described above, it is easy to check that

$$\hat{\lambda}_{(Z_1,Z_2)}(u,v) = \hat{\mu}_{\eta_1}(u)\hat{\mu}_{\eta_2}(u+v)\hat{\mu}_{\eta_3}(v)\exp[q_2(u,u+v,v)], u,v \in X, \quad (3.9)$$

where  $q_2(y_1, y_2, y_3)$  is a continuous polynomial on the space  $X \otimes X \otimes X$ by the *Q*-independence of the random elements  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3$ . Since the joint probability measures of the random vectors  $(Z_1, Z_2)$  and  $(T_1, T_2)$  are the same with non-vanishing characteristic functions, by hypothesis, it follows that  $\hat{\mu}_{\psi_j}(u) \neq 0, u \in Y, j = 1, 2, 3$  and  $\hat{\mu}_{\eta_j}(v) \neq 0, v \in X, j = 1, 2, 3$  and

$$\hat{\mu}_{\psi_1}(u)\hat{\mu}_{\psi_2}(u+v)\hat{\mu}_{\psi_3}(v)\exp[q_1(u,u+v,v)]$$
  
=  $\hat{\mu}_{\eta_1}(u)\hat{\mu}_{\eta_2}(u+v)\hat{\mu}_{\eta_3}(v)\exp[q_2(u,u+v,v)]$  (3.10)

for  $u, v \in X$ . Let

$$\zeta_i(u) = \log[\frac{\hat{\mu}_{\psi_i}(u)}{\hat{\mu}_{\eta_i}(u)}], u \in X, i = 1, 2, 3$$
(3.11)

where  $\log \hat{\mu}_{\psi_i}(u)$  denotes the continuous branch of the logarithm of the characteristic function  $\hat{\mu}_{\psi_i}(u)$  with  $\log \hat{\mu}_{\psi_i}(0) = 0$ . The equations derived above imply that

$$\zeta_1(u) + \zeta_2(u+v) + \zeta_3(v) = q_3(u, u+v, v), u, v \in X$$
(3.12)

where  $q_3(y_1, y_2, y_3)$  is a continuous polynomial on the space  $X \otimes X \otimes X$ . Hence

$$\zeta_2(u+v) = -\zeta_1(u) - \zeta_3(v) + q_3(u, u+v, v), u, v \in X$$

Applying Lemma 3.1, it follows that  $\zeta_1(u)$  and  $\zeta_3(u)$  are polynomials in  $u \in X$ . It can be checked that  $\zeta_2(u), i = 1, 3$  is also a polynomial in  $u \in X$  from the equation (3.11). Hence

$$\hat{\mu}_{\psi_i}(u) = \hat{\mu}_{\eta_i}(u) \exp[q_i(u)], u \in X, i = 1, 2, 3$$
(3.13)

where  $q_i(u), i = 1, 2, 3$  are continuous polynomials on X with  $q_i(0) = 0, i = 1, 2, 3$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2.

**Remarks:** Results obtained here can be extended to linear forms of n Q-independent random elements as discussed in Prakasa Rao (2017) for linear forms of Q-independent real valued random variables.

# 4 Special Case

As a special case of the results obtained in the previous section, we now obtain characterizations for probability measures for Q-independent multidimensional random vectors. Let  $\mathbf{X}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{X}_n$  be k-dimensional random vectors defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$ . Let  $\phi_i(\mathbf{t})$  denote the joint characteristic of the random vector  $\mathbf{X}_i, i = 1, \ldots, n$ . The collection  $\mathbf{X}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{X}_n$  is said to be *Q*-independent if the joint characteristic function of the random vector  $(\mathbf{X}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{X}_n)$  can be represented as

$$\phi_{(\mathbf{X}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{X}_n)}(\mathbf{t}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{t}_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\mathbf{t}_i) \exp[q(\mathbf{t}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{t}_n)], \mathbf{t}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{t}_n \in \mathbb{R}^k$$

where  $q(\mathbf{t}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{t}_n)$  is a polynomial in the components of  $\mathbf{t}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{t}_n$ . Two random vectors  $\mathbf{X}_j$  and  $\mathbf{X}_k$  are said to be *Q*-identically distributed if

$$\phi_j(\mathbf{t}) = \phi_k(\mathbf{t}) \exp[q(\mathbf{t})]$$

where  $q(\mathbf{t})$  is a polynomial in the components of the vector  $\mathbf{t}$ . It is known that two random variables could be *Q*-independent but not independent. For instance, if X, Y, Z are non-degenerate independent Gaussian random variables, then X + Y and X + Z are *Q*-independent but not independent.

As a consequence of Theorem 3.2, we get the following result characterizing probability measures on the space  $R^k$ .

**Theorem 4.1:** Let  $\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2$  and  $\mathbf{X}_3$  be three Q-independent k-dimensional random vectors. Let  $\mathbf{Z}_1 = \mathbf{X}_1 + \mathbf{X}_2$  and  $\mathbf{Z}_2 = \mathbf{X}_2 + \mathbf{X}_3$ . If the characteristic function  $\phi_{(\mathbf{Z}_1, \mathbf{Z}_2)}(\mathbf{t}_1, \mathbf{t}_2)$  of the 2k-dimensional random vector  $(\mathbf{Z}_1, \mathbf{Z}_2)$  does not vanish, then the characteristic function of the random vector  $(\mathbf{Z}_1, \mathbf{Z}_2)$ determines the characteristic functions of the k-dimensional random vectors  $\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2$  and  $\mathbf{X}_3$  up to multiplication by the exponentials of polynomials in the components of  $\mathbf{t}_1, \mathbf{t}_2$ .

**Remarks :** This result can be extended to n k-dimensional Q-independent random vectors generalizing Theorem 3.3 in Prakasa Rao (2017).

### Acknowledgments

This work was supported under the scheme "Ramanujan Chair Professor" at the CR Rao Advanced Institute of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science, Hyderabad 500046, India.

### References

- Feldman, G. (2017). Characterization theorems for Q-independent random variables with values in a locally compact Abelian group, Aequat. Math., 91, 949-967.
- [2] Grenander, U. (1963). Probabilities on algebraic Structures, Wiley, New York.
- [3] Kagan, A.M., Linnik, Y. and Rao, C.R. (1973). Characterization Problems in Mathematical Statistics, Wiley, New York.
- [4] Kagan, A.M. and Székely, Gábor J. (2016). An analytic generalization of independence and identical distributiveness, *Statistics and Probability Letters*, **110**, 244-248.
- [5] Kotlarski, I.I. (1966) On some characterizations of probability distributions in Hilbert spaces, Annali di Math. Pura. et Appl., 74, 129-134.
- [6] Kotlarski, I.I. (1967) On characterizing the gamma and the normal distribution, *Pacific J. Math.*, 20, 69-76.
- [7] Krasnokutskaya, E. (2011) Identification and estimation of auction models with unobserved heterogeneity, *Review of Economic Studies*, 78, 293-327.
- [8] Parthasarathy, K.R. (1967) Probability Measures on Metric Spaces, Academic Press, London.
- [9] Prakasa Rao, B.L.S. (1968) On a characterization of

probability distributions on locally compact Abelian groups, Z. Wahr. verw. Geb., 9, 98-100.

- [10] Prakasa Rao, B.L.S. (1992) Identifiability in Stochastic Models : Characterization of Probability Distributions, Academic Press, San Diego.
- [11] Prakasa Rao, B.L.S. (2016) Characterization of probability distributions through linear forms of Qconditional independent random variables, Sankhya Series A, 78, 221-230.
- [12] Prakasa Rao, B.L.S. (2017) Characterization of probability distributions through *Q*-independence random variables, *Teoria Veroyatnosteii i ee primenenia*, **62**, 415-420.

#### B. L. S. Prakasa Rao

Ramanujan Chair Professor

CR Rao Advanced Institute of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science

Hyderabad 500046, India

E-mail: blsprao@gmail.com